St. Anne Line Catholic Junior School

Restrictive Interventions, including Use of Reasonable Force Policy

Reviewed : May 2026

ST. ANNE LINE CATHOLIC JUNIOR SCHOOL

Restrictive Interventions, including Use of Reasonable Force Policy

Wickhay, Basildon
Essex.
SS15 5AF

Date of Policy:	May 2026
Date last review adopted by Governing Body:	New Policy
Date of next review:	May 2029

With Jesus, through the St Anne Line Way,
We Love, We Learn, We Share, We Pray.

Together, we grow Our School each day

1. Introduction

Everyone who comes into contact with children and their families and carers has a role to play in safeguarding children. Within our setting, our strategies and practice are encompassed within a framework of shared and consistent principles based on person-centered values within a commitment to a reduction in restrictive intervention.

We understand that behaviour is a form of communication and firmly believe that children who feel safe and happy are better equipped to learn. We understand that all behaviour happens for a reason and that difficult and/or harmful behaviour is not necessarily deliberate or planned. We understand that in situations of need, a child may simply behave in a way that has been successful in the past in protecting them and enabling them to survive that moment.

We know that the first step to understanding a particular behaviour of concern is to try to find out why the behaviour is happening. Our setting reflects the values of the Essex Approach to understanding behaviour and supporting emotional wellbeing (known as Trauma Perceptive Practice - TPP) and these values run through all our policies and practice.

This policy, which forms part of our whole school Discipline & Behaviour policy, must be adhered to by all members of staff to safeguard all pupils and themselves.

2. Statutory framework

St. Anne Line Catholic Junior School works in accordance with the following legislation and guidance (this is not an exhaustive list):

- Keeping Children Safe in Education (DfE 2025)
- Working Together to Safeguard Children (DfE 2026)
- Use of reasonable force and other restrictive interventions guidance (DfE 2026)
- Education and Inspections Act 2006, especially sections 93 and 93A
- Schools (Recording and Reporting of Seclusion and Restraint) (No. 2)
- (England) Regulations 2025
- Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and associated regulations
- Human Rights Act 1998
- Equality Act 2010

(Where national guidance is updated, this policy will be reviewed promptly.)

3. Restrictive intervention and reasonable force

We all have a legal obligation under our 'duty of care' to keep the children and young people we support safe. Once we have exhausted all other support options to prevent harm, we may have to apply a restrictive intervention. This would always be a 'positive act' and in the best interests of the child/young person or others.


The DfE guidance, *The use of restrictive interventions, including reasonable force (DfE 2026)* states that all members of school staff have a legal power to use reasonable force in certain circumstances – this is to prevent or stop a pupil from:

- Causing injury to themselves or others.
- Committing a criminal offence.
- Damaging property.
- Causing disorder among pupils at the school, whether during a teaching session or Otherwise.

At St. Anne Line Catholic Junior School, we believe that the use of restrictive intervention, should be used within this framework:

- ✓ Protecting people's fundamental human rights and promoting person-centred best interest and therapeutic approaches to support people when they are distressed.
- ✓ Improving the quality of life of those being restrained and those supporting them.
- ✓ Reducing reliance on restrictive practices by promoting positive culture and practice that focuses on prevention, co-regulation (within the training sometimes can be described as de-escalation) and reflective practice.
- ✓ Focussing on the safest and most dignified use of restrictive interventions where required, including physical restraint.
- ✓ Increasing understanding of the root causes of behaviour and recognising that many behaviours are the result of distress due to unmet needs.
- ✓ Ensuring a restraint reduction approach is adopted by all.
- ✓ Force will never be used as a punishment.

4. Response to Incidents



Our approach, to supporting children and young people is shown in the diagram above. It clearly demonstrates that our practice is built on the firm foundations of a Human Rights value base and understanding behaviour.

Response Strategies

- **Primary Prevention Strategies**
  Primary Prevention Strategies form the greater part of our approach to harmful behaviour and include everything that is put in place that reduces the likelihood of the incident happening. Even at the most heightened states of arousal there are still non-restrictive strategies that may work.
- **Secondary Strategies**
  These are the plans for what to do if the primary strategies do not work and the child becomes more stressed.
- **Tertiary Strategies (non-restrictive and restrictive)**
  These are designed to keep the child and those around them safe from harm. They provide a way to react quickly in a situation where the child is distressed and more likely to present harmful behaviour and may include physical intervention.

5. Training

The DfE sets out that staff who are likely to need to use reasonable force and/or other restrictive interventions should be adequately trained in its safe and lawful use and in preventative strategies. As a staff team, we have participated in extensive training to recognise and respond supportively to behaviours through co-regulation to guide children through stressful situations. This approach complements our values of TPP and is delivered to staff members so they can:

- Identify suitable techniques for different situations
- Identify and minimise potential risk factors
- Identify and minimise the potential impact of a physical intervention on a child/young person.

6. Support Planning and risk assessments

We will always consider the needs of the individual child and their specific needs. At our setting we use personalised distress management and adult response planning (developed from the Essex TPP approach). This is designed to keep everyone safe by enabling our staff to think about, plan for and be confident in safely supporting children and young people.

This support is discussed and agreed through our risk assessment / One Planning process and we always involve the child/young person and their parent/carer in this process.

- **Step 1**: Identify the stressors being experienced by the child/young person. There are five domains of stress, which are explained later in this document.
- **Step 2**: Complete the 'Warning Signs of Stress', providing personalised detail of what this looks like and means for the child/young person.
- **Step 3**: Complete the 'Stress Mapping' and 'Level of Harm'.
- **Step 4**: If the pupil is assessed to 'always' or 'often' experience stress or the harm is assessed to be of concern, develop both the personalised 'Adult Response Plan' and 'Child's Self-regulation Plan' for the child/young person as part of the One Planning process.
- **Step 5**: Regularly review and update the information in this tool through One Planning.

Support Plans and risk assessments will include:

- ✓ The views of the child or young person in how they want to be supported.
- ✓ Consideration as to how the child or young person's dignity may be compromised and how might staff manage that.
- ✓ Communicating behaviours that present as conflict, aggression and anxiety.
- ✓ primary and secondary prevention strategies used to co-regulate and defuse potential incidents
- ✓ Any personal, sensory or environmental needs for the child/young person.
- ✓ A recovery plan/restorative approach.

7. Reporting and recording

At our setting we record incidents where:

- It has been necessary for a staff member to use force on a child.
- It has been necessary for a staff member to use seclusion.
- It has been necessary for a staff member to use a non-force related restraint (with or without direct physical contact).

Any such incidents will be recorded as soon as practicable after the event by the staff member(s) involved and we endeavour to do this no later than the same day. We will record the following details as a minimum:

- ✓ Names of pupil and staff directly involved.
- ✓ Time, date, location and approximate duration of the intervention.
- ✓ Any relevant needs or circumstances of the pupil, including whether the pupil involved has an identified special educational need or disability and their SEN status code.
- ✓ Brief account of why the intervention was assessed as necessary in that instance.
- ✓ Details of any physical injuries sustained, if applicable.
- ✓ Any post-incident support, such as details of any medical treatment for injuries or other adverse impacts.

Where appropriate, we also invite parents / carers to have a follow-up discussion about the incident and to review the risk assessment and support plan. This discussion will consider:

• any behavioural triggers or warning signs of an impending incident.
• Whether agreed support plans were followed.
• What de-escalation strategies were used and how effective they were.
• What might be done differently in the future.

8. Oversight and governance

Our governing body has oversight of our procedures, reporting and recording for use of force and seclusion in our setting. They receive regular reports on the number of incidents and use it to identify and implement improvements to policies and practices. They will:

- Identify areas of learning and development for school staff.
- Understand repeat patterns and triggers to consider the effectiveness of pupil support measures.
- Identify any disproportionate use of restrictive interventions in relation to pupils who share protected characteristics, have SEN, or other types of vulnerability.

Saint Anne Line Catholic Junior School is a level one Rights' Respecting school. At the heart of our school is the Convention for the Rights of the Child (CRC). This is evident in our planning, policies, practice and ethos.

- **Standard A: Rights-respecting values underpin leadership and management**
  The best interests of Saint Anne Line pupils are a top priority in all actions. The values and principles of the CRC at the heart of this policy.

- **Standard B: The whole school community learns about the CRC**
  The Convention is made known to children and adults. They use this shared understanding to work for global justice and sustainable living.

- **Standard C: The school has a rights-respecting ethos**
  Children and adults collaborate to develop and maintain a rights-respecting school community, based on the CRC, in all areas and in all aspects of school life.

- **Standard D: Children are empowered to become active citizens and learners**
  Every child has the right to say what they think in all matters affecting them and to have their views taken seriously. Saint Anne Line pupils develop the confidence, through their experience of an inclusive rights-respecting school community, to play an active role in their own learning and to speak and act for the rights of all to be respected locally and globally.

Appendix C: statutory record of an incident of the use of force, seclusion and non-force related restraint

CYP name:	DoB:	Year group:

Member(s) of staff involved:	
Date of incident:	
Start time of incident:	
End time of incident:	
Location of incident:	

Name(s) of additional staff witness(es):	Name(s) of additional CYP witness(es):

Stressors leading up to the incident:

Co-regulation prior to the decision to use of restrictive intervention:			
Verbal advice and support		Swapping of staff	
Calm talking and Reassurance		Distraction/diversion	
Personalised co-regulation script		Offering choices and options	
Humour		Offering safe space	
Other (specify)			

Reason for the restrictive intervention:	To prevent or stop a pupil from causing injury to themselves or others	
	To prevent or stop a pupil from committing a criminal offence	
	To prevent or stop a pupil from damaging property	
	To prevent or stop a pupil from causing disorder among pupils at the school, whether during a teaching session or otherwise	

Detail of the incident:
(brief account of the incident, including what led up to it, identified or potential triggers / stressors if known, any preventative or de-escalation strategies used, and (where relevant) what type of reasonable force was applied, the degree of force, and details of any physical injuries sustained)

Detail of intervention:			
Time started	Technique	Duration	Staff name

Any physical mark or harm caused by the use of the intervention to the CYP:	Yes/No	Details	
Any immediate response to harm caused to the CYP:			

Signed off by staff involved:		
Staff name	Staff signature	Date

Action following the incident:			
	Name	**Date / time**	**Detail**
Incident reported to senior staff by:			
Verbal communication to parents / carer by:			
Written communication to parents / carer by:			
CYP wellbeing and medical check by:			
Staff wellbeing check by:			

Restorative conversation with CYP by:			
Medical / First Aid / record of injury completed by:			
Review of incident to identify learning by:			
CYP's personalised plan updated by:			
Incident recorded on system for data analysis purposes:			

SLT monitoring and quality assurance:		
	Yes / No	Detail:
Staff wellbeing checks undertaken:		
Witness accounts obtained and verified:		
The intervention was acceptable *(and in accordance with statutory guidance):*		
Any learning identified:		
Report to LADO (if required):		
Any safeguarding or other response required:		

SLT member name:	**SLT member signature:**
Date:	

Appendix D - template letter to inform parents of an incident

Dear *(parent / carer)*

Further to our earlier telephone conversation, I am writing to confirm our discussion about the incident today. As discussed, it was deemed necessary to use a restrictive intervention *[ensure this reflects the incident]* with *[CYP name]*. You will be aware that such an intervention is used in our setting only as a last resort, where other interventions and de-escalation techniques have not been sufficient. As already shared with you, it was felt by staff involved that it was a necessary, proportionate and appropriate response at the time in order to keep your child and everyone else safe.

In line with our policy and procedures, I am sharing the detail of the incident with you:

Time, date, location and approximate duration of the intervention:	
Why the intervention was assessed as necessary:	
What type of force was applied, and the degree of force:	
Any physical injuries sustained *[delete if not applicable]*	

It is important that we continue to work together, going forward. I would like to invite you to a meeting to *write / review* a risk assessment and support plan for *[CYP name]* and we can discuss the matter in more detail then.

Yours sincerely

Appendix E – guide to support reporting to MySafety

Level 1 When there was no need for first aid or medical attention, or when there is no long-term anxiety or stress as a result of the incident for a member of staff.	**Level 2** When there was a need for first aid or medical attention, or if the staff member experiences long term anxiety or stress as a result. When there was a need for non-restrictive physical intervention.	**Level 3** When it was deemed necessary to use restrictive intervention to co-regulate to prevent a CYP injuring themselves or others, committing a criminal offence, damaging property or causing disorder	**Level 4** When it was deemed necessary to use restrictive intervention to co-regulate to prevent a CYP injuring themselves or others, committing a criminal offence, damaging property or causing disorder.
Behaviours likely to be responsive to the ordinarily available support and interventions set out within the setting behaviour policy. They will be also be monitored and reviewed through personalised 'One Planning' when appropriate. Examples: • Eating or mouthing non-edible items, such as stones, dirt, pen lids, bedding, metal, faeces. • Smearing of faeces. • Rocking, repetitive speech and repetitive actions or manipulation of objects. • Absconding. • Removing of clothing items. • Self-injury/harming, including head banging, scratching, hitting, kicking, biting and poking. • Language-based personal abuse or sexual comments. • Racist, sexist, or homophobic behaviour or comments.	Behaviours with duration, frequency, intensity or persistence which are beyond the typical range for the setting. Such behaviours are less likely to be responsive to the ordinarily available support and interventions identified within the setting behaviour policy. Examples: • Compromise the CYP's own and / or other CYP's learning. • Disrupt the day-to-day functioning of the setting, making it a less safe and routine environment. • Language-based persistent personal abuse or persistent sexual comments. • Persistent racist, sexist, or homophobic behaviour or comments.	Behaviours which compromised the safety and wellbeing of the CYP or others: Examples: • Causing harm towards adults or other CYP (including pushing, punching, kicking, biting, scratching, spitting, head-butting). • Causing damage to the learning environment, including to property. • Striking another adult / CYP with an object.	Behaviours which caused harm or injury to the CYP or others: Examples: • A one-off serious incident involving behaviour not previously observed in the CYP. • Causing harm towards adults or other CYP (including pushing, punching, kicking, biting, scratching, spitting, head-butting. • Causing damage to the learning environment, including to property. • Striking another adult / CYP with an object.